



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/301,853	04/29/1999	KAZUHISA OHBUCHI	FUJS-16.073	6159
75	590 07/14/2003			
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN 575 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022-2585			EXAMINER	
			LAMARRE, GUY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2133	22
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003	2L

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ppe		
	•••				
Office Action Summary	09/301,853	OHBUCHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Guy J. Lamarre, P.E.	2133			
Period for Reply	ears on the cover she	at with the correspondence addre	35		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, m within the statutory minimum rill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to beco	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	<u>une 2003</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-			
11) $\boxtimes$ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>08 November 2002</u> is: a) $\boxtimes$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior action f</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(	a)).	ige		
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.	S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/301,853 Page 1 of 15

Art Unit: 2133

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

**0.** Applicant's amendment along with the petition for 3-month extension of time of 26 June 2003, and address change of 27 <u>November 2002</u>, have been entered. The petition of 3-month extension of time has been granted. This office action is in response to Applicants' amendment.

- 0.1 Claims 47-48 are amended. Claims 1-53 remain pending.
- **0.2** The rejection under 35 USC 112 and objections of record <u>are withdrawn</u> in response to instant Applicants' amendment.
- **0.3** The rejections to Claims 1-53 under 35 USC 103(a) of record <u>are maintained</u> in response to instant Applicants' amendment.
- **0.4** The Examiner gratefully acknowledges Applicants' cooperation in trying to expedite prosecution of instant application on 8 July 2003.

#### **Response to Arguments**

**0.5** Applicants' arguments of 26 June 2003 *along with the entire disclosure* have been fully considered, but are not found persuasive.

It is not clear to the examiner where the invention is claimed: data permutation implies interleaving according to some predetermined order. Thus the claimed invention reads on the prior art of record. The submitted permutation illustrations are not part of the claims. It is also clear to those of ordinary skill in the art of data communication how to interleave/manipulate data for burst error protection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Page 2 of 15

Application/Control Number: 09/301,853

Art Unit: 2133

1.1 Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming a mathematical formula or algorithm. Applicant is advised to modify limitations of said claims as being incorporated or embedded in hardware or readable machine medium.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 103

- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 2.0 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2.1 Claims 1-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' Admitted prior art (hereinafter Admitted prior art) in view of Lin et al. (US Patent No. 5,068,878; February 6, 1990).

As per Claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 17-24, 31-32, 35-36, 43, 46 and 49, Admitted prior art substantially discloses the procedure for the claimed interleaving means (or reverse operation) comprising the steps of: arranging data to be transmitted in a matrix; and rearranging or spreading by interchanging rows of the matrix according to a predetermined order, each row representing a set of data pieces of said data and rearranging or spreading by interchanging columns of the matrix according to a predetermined order, each column representing a set of data pieces of said data, and outputting said rearranged data in time series. {See Admitted prior art, Figs. 22-24, and page 1 line 17 – page 8 line 5, in passim, wherein rearranging or spreading means are described, e.g. data is acquired, stored or arranged in matrix or array form,

Art Unit: 2133

subsequently permuted or spread row or column-wise in a random or predetermined fashion or order (Examiner notes that even though the data interleaving is effected in a random fashion, said predetermined fashion or order interleaving is known by the de-interleaver, de-interleaver that will use such knowledge to operate on interleaved data so as to recover the original data. Therefore, there is a predetermination in the order in which the interleaver arranges the original data.), and said permutation or interleaving operation being timed or synchronized via a clock; means to reverse data ordering (de-interleaving or de-spreading) to recover said data; and control means to perform data shuffling and re-ordering; means to perform error detection and correction (page 3 line 25); means for data communication or transmission via radio or antenna means (page 1 line 17).} Not specifically described in detail in Admitted prior art is the step whereby random or predetermined fashion or order of rearranging data by columns or rows is performed in time series or sequentially.

However such approach is well known. For example, Lin et al., in an analogous art, discloses algorithms wherein such techniques are described. {See Lin et al., Id., Abstract.} Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the procedure of the Admitted prior art by including therein a mathematical method as taught by Lin et al., because such modification would provide the procedure disclosed in the Admitted prior art with a technique whereby the "[The] controller 100 then allows the flow of data from the data source 101 to continue. Referring to FIG. 3, it will be appreciated that the resynchronization signals 134, 136, 138, 140, . . . . . 154 are thereby recordable on the tape 77 in a pseudo-random fashion relative to the interleave block boundaries. It will be noted that the resynchronization signals 134-154 are inserted in addition to the recorded data bytes; the flow of data being momentarily interrupted to accommodate the recording of the resynchronization signals." {See Lin et al., col. 7 line 17-et seq.}

Art Unit: 2133

As per Claims 4, 11, 25-26, 44-45, 47-48, 50-53, Lin et al. discloses the procedure for the claimed interleaving means, wherein said control unit comprises a write control unit for generating a write address to be used to write said data to be transmitted in said storing unit with said data to be transmitted arranged in a matrix and rearranged or spread by interchanging rows of the matrix according to a predetermined order, each row representing a set of data pieces of said data and by interchanging columns of the matrix according to a predetermined order, each column representing a set of data pieces of said data, and for writing said data to be transmitted in said storing unit, and said control unit reads said data to be transmitted stored in said first storing unit in the order of addresses. {See Lin et al., col. 5 line 67-et seq., for data writing means effected by "controller 100 which now sequences the changeover so that RAM 96 is read out and the RAM 96' is written into, as previously mentioned (FIG. 4). }

As per Claims 5, 12, 27-30, Lin et al. discloses the procedure for the claimed interleaving means, wherein said write control unit comprises a column number generating unit for randomly generating column numbers and a row number generating unit for randomly generating row numbers, and said first write control unit writes said data to be transmitted in said first storing unit with numbers generated by said column number generating unit and said row number generating unit as said write address to write said data to be transmitted in said first storing unit. {See Lin et al., col. 5 line 67-et seq., for data writing means effected by "controller 100 which now sequences the changeover so that RAM 96 is read out and the RAM 96' is written into, as previously mentioned (FIG. 4). } Also refer to Admitted prior art, Figs. 22-24, and page 1 line 17 – page 8 line 5, in passim, wherein apparatus and method are described, e.g. data is acquired, stored or arranged in matrix or array form, subsequently permuted row or column-wise in a random fashion, and said permutation or interleaving

Application/Control Number: 09/301,853 Page 5 of 15

Art Unit: 2133

operation being timed or synchronized via a clock; means to reverse data ordering (deinterleaving) to recover said data; and control means to perform data shuffling and re-ordering.}

As per Claims 6, 13, 39-42, Lin et al. discloses the procedure for the claimed interleaving apparatus according to claim 5(12), 2 wherein each of said column number generating unit and said row number generating unit is configured with a memory for holding numbers used as addresses in a predetermined order {See Lin et al. col. 6 lines 17- et seq., wherein for address generation means via counter 108.}

As per Claims 7, 14, 33-34, Lin et al. discloses the procedure for the claimed interleaving apparatus according to claim 3(10), wherein said first control unit writes said data to be transmitted in said first storing unit in the order of addresses, and said first control unit comprises a first read controlling unit for generating a read address to be used to read said data to be transmitted from said first storing unit with said data to be transmitted stored in said first storing unit arranged in a matrix and at least either columns or rows of said data to be transmitted randomly rearranged to read said data to be transmitted. {See Lin et al., col. 5 line 67-et seq., for data writing means effected by "controller 100 which now sequences the changeover so that RAM 96 is read out and the RAM 96' is written into, as previously mentioned (FIG. 4). Also refer to Admitted prior art, Figs. 22-24, and page 1 line 17 – page 8 line 5, in passim, wherein apparatus and method are described, e.g. data is acquired, stored or arranged in matrix or array form, subsequently permuted row or column-wise in a random fashion, and said permutation or interleaving operation being timed or synchronized via a clock; means to reverse data ordering (de-interleaving) to recover said data; and control means to perform data shuffling and reordering.

As per Claims 8, 15, 37-38, Lin et al. discloses the procedure for the claimed interleaving apparatus according to claim 7 (14), wherein said first read control unit comprises a

Art Unit: 2133

column number generating unit for randomly generating column numbers and a row number generating unit for randomly generating row numbers, and said first read control unit reads said data to be transmitted from said first storing unit with numbers generated by said column number generating unit and said row number generating unit as said read address. {See Lin et al., col. 5 line 67-et seq., for data writing means effected by "controller 100 which now sequences the changeover so that RAM 96 is read out and the RAM 96' is written into, as previously mentioned (FIG. 4). } Also refer to Admitted prior art, Figs. 22-24, and page 1 line 17 – page 8 line 5, in passim, wherein apparatus and method are described, e.g. data is acquired, stored or arranged in matrix or array form, subsequently permuted row or column-wise in a random fashion, and said permutation or interleaving operation being timed or synchronized via a clock; means to reverse data ordering (de-interleaving) to recover said data; and control means to perform data shuffling and re-ordering.}

As per Claims 9, 16, Lin et al. discloses the procedure for the claimed The interleaving apparatus according to claim 8(15), wherein each of said column number generating unit and said row number generating unit is configured with a memory for holding numbers used as addresses in a predetermined order {See Lin et al., col. 7 lines 35- et seq., wherein predetermined order means is provided for permuting information.}

2.2 Claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 17-24, 31-32, 35-36, 43, 46 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' Admitted prior art (hereinafter Admitted prior art) in view of Azuma et al. (US Patent No. 4959863; June 2, 1988).

As per Claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 17-24, 31-32, 35-36, 43, 46 and 49, Admitted prior art substantially discloses the procedure for the claimed interleaving means (or reverse operation) comprising the steps of: arranging data to be transmitted in a matrix; and rearranging or spreading by interchanging rows of the matrix according to a predetermined order, each row representing a set of data pieces of said data and rearranging or spreading by interchanging

Page 7 of 15

Application/Control Number: 09/301,853

Art Unit: 2133

columns of the matrix according to a predetermined order, each column representing a set of data pieces of said data; and outputting said rearranged data in time series. {See Admitted prior art, Figs. 22-24, and page 1 line 17 – page 8 line 5, in passim, wherein rearranging or spreading means are described, e.g. data is acquired, stored or arranged in matrix or array form, subsequently permuted or spread row or column-wise in a random or predetermined fashion or order (Examiner notes that even though the data interleaving is effected in a random fashion, said predetermined fashion or order interleaving is known by the de-interleaver, de-interleaver that will use such knowledge to operate on interleaved data so as to recover the original data. Therefore, there is a predetermination in the order in which the interleaver arranges the original data.), and said permutation or interleaving operation being timed or synchronized via a clock; means to reverse data ordering (de-interleaving or de-spreading) to recover said data; and control means to perform data shuffling and re-ordering; means to perform error detection and correction (page 3 line 25); means for data communication or transmission via radio or antenna means (page 1 line 17).) Not specifically described in detail in Admitted prior art is the step whereby random or predetermined fashion or order of rearranging data by columns or rows is performed in time series or sequentially.

However such approach is well known. For example, Azuma et al., in an analogous art, discloses algorithms wherein such techniques are described. {See Azuma et al., Id., Abstract.} Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the procedure of the Admitted prior art by including therein a random or predetermined fashion or order permutation method as taught by Azuma et al., because such modification would provide the procedure disclosed in the Admitted prior art with a technique whereby the "[The] decimated signal sequence (signal vector) Y.sup.i' (Z.sup.16) is permutated by a multiplication by the permutation matrix [T] of 8.times.8. In this

Application/Control Number: 09/301,853 Page 8 of 15

Art Unit: 2133

case, the row element of the permutation matrix is 0 or 1 (the sum being 1), and element of this matrix is 0 or 1 (the sum being 1). The permutation matrix is a fixed permutation if constant with time, and a variable permutation if variable. In the scramble processing, the rows of this matrix are permutated at random, and the number of combinations is usually n! for an n.times.n matrix." {See Azuma et al., col. 10 line 59-et seq.}

2.3 Claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 17-24, 31-32, 35-36, 43, 46 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' Admitted prior art (hereinafter Admitted prior art) in view of Yamaguchi et al. ("Turbo Code", a new coding system approaching theoretical Shannon limits, is born in France; NIKKEI ELECTRONICS, July 13, 1988).

As per Claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 17-24, 31-32, 35-36, 43, 46 and 49, Admitted prior art substantially discloses the procedure for the claimed interleaving means (or reverse operation) comprising the steps of: arranging data to be transmitted in a matrix; and rearranging or spreading by interchanging rows of the matrix according to a predetermined order, each row representing a set of data pieces of said data and rearranging or spreading by interchanging columns of the matrix according to a predetermined order, each column representing a set of data pieces of said data; and outputting said rearranged data in time series. {See Admitted prior art, Figs. 22-24, and page 1 line 17 - page 8 line 5, in passim, wherein rearranging or spreading means are described, e.g. data is acquired, stored or arranged in matrix or array form, subsequently permuted or spread row or column-wise in a random or predetermined fashion or order (Examiner notes that even though the data interleaving is effected in a random fashion, said predetermined fashion or order interleaving is known by the de-interleaver, de-interleaver that will use such knowledge to operate on interleaved data so as to recover the original data. Therefore, there is a predetermination in the order in which the interleaver arranges the original data.), and said permutation or interleaving operation being timed or synchronized via a clock; means to reverse data ordering (de-interleaving or de-spreading) to recover said data; and control

Art Unit: 2133

means to perform data shuffling and re-ordering; means to perform error detection and correction (page 3 line 25); means for data communication or transmission via radio or antenna means (page 1 line 17).} Not specifically described in detail in Admitted prior art is the step whereby random or predetermined fashion or order of rearranging data by columns or rows is performed in time series or sequentially.

However such approach is well known. For example, Yamaguchi et al., in an analogous art, discloses algorithms wherein such techniques are described. {See Yamaguchi et al., Id., Excerpt translation: page 1 first and second paras. last line.} Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the procedure of the Admitted prior art by including therein a random or predetermined fashion or order permutation method as taught by Yamaguchi et al., because such modification would provide the procedure disclosed in the Admitted prior art with a technique whereby it is possible to greatly change the characteristics of the turbo codes, or to improve weight distribution of said codes {See Yamaguchi et al., Excerpt translation: page 1 last para first sentence.}

2.4 Claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 17-24, 31-32, 35-36, 43, 46 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' Admitted prior art (hereinafter Admitted prior art) in view of Karasawa et al. (US Patent No. 5,204,981; Mar. 1, 1991).

As per Claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 17-24, 31-32, 35-36, 43, 46 and 49, Admitted prior art substantially discloses the procedure for the claimed interleaving means (or reverse operation) comprising the steps of: arranging data to be transmitted in a matrix; and rearranging or spreading by interchanging rows of the matrix according to a predetermined order, each row representing a set of data pieces of said data and rearranging or spreading by interchanging columns of the matrix according to a predetermined order, each column representing a set of data pieces of said data; and outputting said rearranged data in time series. {See Admitted prior art,

Art Unit: 2133

Figs. 22-24, and page 1 line 17 – page 8 line 5, in passim, wherein rearranging or spreading means are described, e.g. data is acquired, stored or arranged in matrix or array form, subsequently permuted or spread row or column-wise in a random or predetermined fashion or order (Examiner notes that even though the data interleaving is effected in a random fashion, said predetermined fashion or order interleaving is known by the de-interleaver, de-interleaver that will use such knowledge to operate on interleaved data so as to recover the original data. Therefore, there is a predetermination in the order in which the interleaver arranges the original data.), and said permutation or interleaving operation being timed or synchronized via a clock; means to reverse data ordering (de-interleaving or de-spreading) to recover said data; and control means to perform data shuffling and re-ordering; means to perform error detection and correction (page 3 line 25); means for data communication or transmission via radio or antenna means (page 1 line 17).) Not specifically described in detail in Admitted prior art is the step whereby random or predetermined fashion or order of rearranging data by columns or rows is performed in time series or sequentially.

However such approach is well known. For example, Karasawa et al., in an analogous art, discloses algorithms wherein such techniques are described. {See Karasawa et al., Id., Fig. 9 and Abstract: last line.} Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the procedure of the Admitted prior art by including therein a permutation method as taught by Karasawa et al., because such modification would provide the procedure disclosed in the Admitted prior art with a technique whereby it is possible to design "An interleaver 10 which stores a fixed amount of signal sequence output from the FEC coder 9 and outputs it in a time series different from that of the input. That is, the interleaver 10 stores a fixed amount of data in a predetermined two-

Art Unit: 2133

applied in a row order." {See Karasawa et al., col. 3 lines 5-et seq.}

2.5 Claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 17-20, 23-24, 31-32, 35-36, 43, 46 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Karasawa et al. (US Patent No. 5,204,981; Mar. 1, 1991) in view of Yamaguchi et al. ("Turbo Code", a new coding system approaching theoretical Shannon limits, is born in France; NIKKEI ELECTRONICS, July 13, 1988) in further view of de Almeida et al. (Two-Dimensional Interleaving Using the Set Partitioning Technique; IEEE, Aug. 1994).

As per Claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 17-20, 23-24, 31-32, 35-36, 43, 46 and 49, Karasawa substantially discloses the procedure for the claimed interleaving means (or reverse operation) comprising the steps of arranging data to be transmitted in a matrix; and rearranging or spreading by interchanging rows of the matrix according to a predetermined order, each row representing a set of data pieces of said data and rearranging or spreading by interchanging columns of the matrix according to a predetermined order, each column representing a set of data pieces of said data, and outputting said rearranged data in time series. {See Karasawa, Fig. 9, Abstract: last line and col. 3 lines 5-et esq., in passim, wherein apparatus and method are described, e.g. data is acquired, stored or arranged in matrix or array form, subsequently permuted row or column-wise in a some fashion, and said permutation or interleaving operation being timed or synchronized via a clock; means to reverse data ordering (de-interleaving) to recover said data; and control means to perform data shuffling and re-ordering.} Not specifically described in detail in Karasawa is the step whereby random rearranging by either columns or rows of data is effected.

However such approach is well known. For example, Yamaguchi et al., in an analogous art, discloses algorithms wherein such techniques are described. {See Yamaguchi et al., Id., Excerpt translation: page 1 first and second paras. last line.} Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify

Art Unit: 2133

Yamaguchi et al., because such modification would provide the procedure disclosed in Karasawa with a technique whereby it is possible to greatly change the characteristics of the turbo codes, or to improve weight distribution of said codes {See Yamaguchi et al., Excerpt translation: page 1 last para first sentence.}

While Karasawa and Yamaguchi et al substantially disclose the procedure for the claimed invention, they fail to specifically describe in detail the concept whereby random rearrangement of data is effected by exchanging data units at least between rows and columns.

However, such technique is well known in data processing systems, e.g., de Almeida et al., in an analogous art, discloses an algorithm wherein such random rearrangement means is depicted. {See de Almeida et al., Id., Example 1, Fig.1 and Table 1.}

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the procedure of Karasawa and Yamaguchi et al by including therein the technique as disclosed by de Almeida et al. because such modification would provide the procedure of Karasawa and Yamaguchi et al with a method whereby "simple random-error-correcting codes can be used to correct clusters of errors, instead of the more complex burst-error-correcting codes. " {See de Almeida et al. Id., SUMMARY: para. 1 last sentence, and col. 1 penultimate para.}

2.6 Claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 17-20, 23-24, 31-32, 35-36, 43, 46 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Karasawa et al. (US Patent No. 5,204,981; Mar. 1, 1991) in view of Azuma et al. (US Patent No. 4959863; June 2, 1988) in further view of de Almeida et al. (Two-Dimensional Interleaving Using the Set Partitioning Technique; IEEE, Aug. 1994).

As per Claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 17-20, 23-24, 31-32, 35-36, 43, 46 and 49, Karasawa substantially discloses the procedure for the claimed interleaving means (or reverse operation) comprising the steps of: arranging data to be transmitted in a matrix; and rearranging or

Art Unit: 2133

spreading by interchanging rows of the matrix according to a predetermined order, each row representing a set of data pieces of said data and rearranging or spreading by interchanging columns of the matrix according to a predetermined order, each column representing a set of data pieces of said data; and outputting said rearranged data in time series. {See Karasawa, Fig. 9, Abstract: last line and col. 3 lines 5-et esq., in passim, wherein apparatus and method are described, e.g. data is acquired, stored or arranged in matrix or array form, subsequently permuted row or column-wise in a some fashion, and said permutation or interleaving operation being timed or synchronized via a clock; means to reverse data ordering (de-interleaving) to recover said data; and control means to perform data shuffling and re-ordering.} Not specifically described in detail in Karasawa is the step whereby random or variable rearranging by either columns or rows of data is effected.

However such approach is well known. For example, Azuma et al., in an analogous art, discloses algorithms wherein such techniques are described. {See Azuma et al., Id., Abstract.} Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the procedure of Karasawa by including therein a mathematical method as taught by Azuma et al., because such modification would provide the procedure disclosed in Karasawa with a technique whereby the "[The] decimated signal sequence (signal vector) Y.sup.i' (Z.sup.16) is permutated by a multiplication by the permutation matrix [T] of 8.times.8. In this case, the row element of the permutation matrix is 0 or 1 (the sum being 1), and element of this matrix is 0 or 1 (the sum being 1). The permutation matrix is a fixed permutation if constant with time, and a variable permutation if variable. In the scramble processing, the rows of this matrix are permutated at random, and the number of combinations is usually n! for an n.times.n matrix." {See Azuma et al., col. 10 line 59-et seq.}

Application/Control Number: 09/301,853 Page 14 of 15

Art Unit: 2133

While Karasawa and Azuma et al substantially disclose the procedure for the claimed invention, they fail to specifically describe in detail the concept whereby random rearrangement of data is effected by exchanging data units at least between rows and columns.

However, such technique is well known in data processing systems, e.g., de Almeida et al., in an analogous art, discloses an algorithm wherein such random rearrangement means is depicted. {See de Almeida et al., Id., Example 1, Fig.1 and Table 1.}

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the procedure of Karasawa and Azuma et al by including therein the technique as disclosed by de Almeida et al. because such modification would provide the procedure of Karasawa and Azuma et al with a method whereby " simple random-error-correcting codes can be used to correct clusters of errors, instead of the more complex burst-error-correcting codes. " {See de Almeida et al. Id., SUMMARY: para. 1 last sentence, and col. 1 penultimate para.}

#### Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and relied upon is considered to applicant's disclosure.
- 3.0 This action is non-final because the new rejection under 101 is not due to Applicant's amendment.
- 3.1 Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

- (703) 746-7238, (for After-Final communications),
- (703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry),
- (703) 746-5463 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Art Unit: 2133

Page 15 of 15

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guy J. Lamarre, P.E., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0755. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert De Cady, can be reached on (703) 305-9595.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Guy J. Lamarre, P.E.

Gruy J. Lamarre

Patent Examiner

7/11/03